

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/330,544	06/11/1999	ROBERT F. BAUGH	17720-059	9553
759	90 02/15/2002			
Hogan & Hartson, Esq. 1200 17th Street, Suite 1500 Denver, CO 80202			EXAMINER .	
			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
·			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>S</i>			
		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Adı	Advisory Action	09/330,544	BAUGH ET AL.			
	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit .			
		Lyle A Alexander	1743			
The MA	ALLING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
final rejection und condition for allow	FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP r action by the applicant is required to a der 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: ( wance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe E) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply to a lich places the application in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
	for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date o					
event, how ONLY CHI 706.07(f). Extensions of tim have been filed is the of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calc (b) above, if checked.	for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advever, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS a may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datate for purposes of determining the period of extendulated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened Any reply received by the Office later than three maintained. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe case on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 asion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  .136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
	f Appeal was filed on Appellant' 192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The propos	sed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) 🛛 they ra	aise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) 🗌 they ra	aise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
• • - •	re not deemed to place the application for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	iterially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) 🗌 they j	present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE	E: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's	reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
	oosed or amended claim(s) would the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
	nffidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)□ request fon In in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the			
	vit or exhibit will NOT be considered be the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly			
	es of Appeal, the proposed amendmen on of how the new or amended claims w					
The status	of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	•				
Claim(s) a	illowed: <u>none</u> .					
, ,	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .					
* *	ejected: <u>4-6,8 nad 10-29</u> .					
	vithdrawn from consideration:					
* *	sed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disar	proved by the Examiner.			
	ttached Information Disclosure Stateme		· ·			
10. Other:		,	— B/			
		•	Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743			

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 09/330,544

Cantiguation of 2. NOTE: The proposed language "plunger sensor apparatus ... test cell " has not been previously consider. Further search and consideratin would be required.